To: Alan Boraas [IFASB@kpc.alaska.edu]

Cc: []
Bcc: []

From: CN=Phil North/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US

Sent: Thur 5/20/2010 12:41:22 AM

Subject: RE: Village density vs local resources

Please forward. Thanks

Phillip North
Environmental Protection Agency
Kenai River Center
514 Funny River Road
Soldotna, Alaska 99669
(907) 714-2483
fax 260-5992
north.phil@epa.gov

From: Alan Boraas <IFASB@kpc.alaska.edu>
To: Phil North/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 05/19/2010 04:30 PM

Subject: RE: Village density vs local resources

Phil,

Lots of things go into village/population density, but you're right. The Lower Nushagak is a resource rich area, i.e. salmon. I don't know of any studies that look at this directly, however, would you mind if I forward your e-mail to a UAA professor (not sympathetic to the Pebble Mine) to ask if he knows of any such studies--he's worked in the area.

Alan

----Original Message----

From: North.Phil@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:North.Phil@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, May 19, 2010 10:25 AM

To: Alan Boraas

Subject: Village density vs local resources

Hi Alan,

I have been developing lots of imagery regarding the Pebble Mine for briefing EPA managers. One was a map of Bristol Bay villages. It struck me that the density of villages seemed quite high compared to what I recall from my time in other parts of Alaska. I am wondering if anyone has done an analysis of Native Alaskan village density relative to resources available from the landscape. It would be a pretty powerful bit of information if I could show that Bristol Bay has a higher density of native people than other parts of Alaska because of its salmon resources. If that is the case.

Do you know if any such work has been done?

[&]quot;To protect your rivers, protect your mountains."

Phil

Phillip North
Environmental Protection Agency
Kenai River Center
514 Funny River Road
Soldotna, Alaska 99669
(907) 714-2483
fax 260-5992
north.phil@epa.gov

[&]quot;To protect your rivers, protect your mountains."